MARYLAND STATE LOTTERY AND GAMING CONTROL AGENCY

PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
LOTTERY CENTRAL MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (LCMCS)
(#2015-01)
SOLICITATION NO. E75R5400007

1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 330 Baltimore, Maryland 21230 Thursday, January 7, 2016 10:00 a.m. - 11:10 a.m.

PRESENT from MARYLAND STATE LOTTERY:

ROBERT W. HOWELLS, Procurement Director

JOHN GALLAGHER, Contract Manager

GORDON MEDENICA, Director, Maryland Lottery

MARIE TOROSINO, Executive Assistant

DIANE MCGRAW, Commissioner

JIM NIELSEN

ROBERT FONTAINE

JOHN MARTIN

LEO MAMORSKY

JEFF PATCHEN

JULIANNE GRIM

REPORTED BY: DEBORAH B. GAUTHIER, Notary Public

PROCEEDINGS

1.2

MR. HOWELLS: Good morning. Thank you all for coming out this morning. This is the pre-proposal conference being conducted by the Maryland State Lottery and Gaming Control Agency for the Lottery Central Monitoring and Control System. Hopefully, we're all in the right room. Nobody's here to claim their Powerball ticket? If you are, it's the wrong room, and we have no cash here.

My name is Robert Howells. I'm the

Procurement Director here for the Lottery. I am

responsible for this procurement from the day the RFP

is issued until the day the contract is awarded, so you

will be hearing a lot from me during this process, and

hopefully I'll be hearing a lot from you. The other

people up here at the front table are part of our team.

Down at the far end is Robert Fontaine. He's with the

Office of the Attorney General. Mr. Jim Nielsen is our

Chief Operating Officer. Diane McGraw is -- that's

Commissioner Diane McGraw -- excuse me -- sits on the

State Lottery and Gaming Control Commission.

John Gallagher, to my left, is the Project
Contract Manager on this contract, so once the contract
is in place and the operational phase begins, I will
pass the baton over to John and it will be all his from
there on, and I can sit back and put my feet up and be
done with it, so -- to my right is Marie Torosino.

Marie is the Executive Assistant to our Director,
Gordon Medenica, and Marie basically holds the entire
Lottery together, so anything that needs to be done,
any crisis or whatever, Marie's the go-to person.

And on my far right is our court reporter, who will be transcribing and taping the meeting today so we get an accurate account of any questions, responses, that sort of thing. I think most of you already probably signed in, but just a couple administrative things. If you would make sure before you go that you either sign in or just clip your business card to the sign-in sheets. If any of you here are minority businesses or veteran businesses, please note on that sign-in sheet that you are.

There's a place there to indicate that.

After the conference, as quickly as we can
get it all done, we will be sending out a copy of that
sign-in sheet. It's a good tool for both the prime
contractors and the subcontractors MBE
subcontractors to network, to see who was here and to
match up, to meet the MBE and the veterans' goals that
are required in this contract. So we'll also be
sending along with that a summary of the conference
today. If we have any amendments that are required to
the RFP based on anything said here today, any
questions and answers, all of that will be distributed
after the conference, not only to all of you, but to
anyone else that we have on our mailing list that has
expressed an interest, has gotten a copy of the RFP,
and so forth. We will also be posting that out on
eMaryland Marketplace, which is the State's electronic
procurement system. I'll touch on that a little bit
later, but that's another source where you can get the
information. And we also put it up on the Lottery
website, which is mdlottery.com. There's a section on
there for Procurements and Contracts, and we post

everything up there. So you've got a couple places you can go. If you're not sure if an amendment was issued or something, you can check those various places to see if it's up there or you can always just e-mail me and ask me if they -- if you've missed anything.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

As far as the meeting today, this is really an opportunity for us to tell you some things about, you know, what our thinking is on this procurement, some of the things we're looking for, but it's also an opportunity for those of you that are here to ask questions, voice any concerns you may have. We truly do ask for and want your feedback on this RFP. don't take points off because you ask a lot of questions. Quite the opposite; we want that feedback. And we do and we have in the past made revisions to an RFP based on questions that have come up. You all are the experts in the field. Sometimes you ask a question that we all sit here and say, "Wow, why didn't we think of that?", you know. And so we can issue amendments based on things you ask us, and we certainly will answer questions to anything that you pose to us today.

We may not answer in detail every question that you might ask today.

general procurement nature and State law and that sort of thing. Questions of a technical nature about the technical specs and requirements, we may defer on those because we want to make sure we give you a written, precise, accurate answer, not something we just, you know, off the cuff fire back at you and then after the meeting decide that, well, that wasn't quite right after all, so -- but we will be sending out the questions and answers.

We got some questions prior to today's meeting. Obviously, some of you have given this RFP an extremely thorough reading. I appreciate that -- your proofreading. I will acknowledge that we have a few references that are incorrect, we have a few punctuations that are improper, but it's good to know that you've all read it that carefully, and we will respond to that. We will amend those sections where we've got some incorrect references and so forth, and

there certainly may be other things that come up during the conversation today. But keep in mind that what we tell you today is our best answer we can give you on the spot, but until you get the written question and answer or the written amendment, if it's something of that nature, that is what prevails and that is what controls the process, so --

The -- just a couple things in general before I start going through the actual document. For those of you that have contracts currently with the Lottery or have in the past or for any of those of you that may have gotten copies of other contracts through PIA or something like that, you've probably noticed already that the format of this document is totally different than anything you've seen before. I won't bore you with all the internal State hierarchy, but we, as a State agency, operate under the oversight of what's called a control agency. In the past, this contract went under the Department of Budget and Management. This time around, it's under the oversight of the Department of Information Technology, and they have

different forms, different RFP templates, so that's why it looks so different. Some of the items that you've brought to our attention with some conflicting time frames and so forth have to do with the fact that we put our stuff into some other agency's template and there were some conflicts between that, so we'll straighten that up.

Also, the format of the RFP template is kind of a one-size-fits-all. You will see references in there to things like federal funding, HIPPA forms, which, clearly, have nothing to do with anything we're doing here. I think we went through and said "not applicable" in most places, but if you see things like that in there that we've missed, please bring it to my attention, but, obviously, we're not dealing with HIPPA, we're not dealing with federal funding, and several other things that are in there that don't really apply. Also, a little different than what we did the last time, last time we really defined specifications for the system and so forth very much in detail. We kind of told you exactly what we wanted as

far as how to build the system. This time around, we've tried to be more performance-driven with the RFP. We've tried to tell you the results that we want, the performance that we want. We want certain up time, we want certain ticket-printing speeds, that sort of thing. We tried to leave it much more open to you all to tell us how you think it best should be done rather than us dictating that. As I said, you all are the experts in these systems and, you know, we really need to rely upon your expertise to tell us the best way to do it, so hopefully you think that's a good thing.

Also, we're looking for -- not that we weren't before, but more emphasis this time around. We understand that pretty much anybody that's going to submit a proposal on this magnitude of a contract can operate a system to some degree, a system that'll sell tickets, a system that'll print tickets, that sort of thing. We're really looking for someone that takes the next step, takes it to the next level, and can propose to us some really innovative concepts and solutions, things that will really drive sales, drive revenue, and

we're really looking for, like I said, that next level of what's really on the cutting edge and what can you really do for us that nobody else can. That's what, you know, we're trying to focus on here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

So part of that goes to the fact that we're doing this more on a performance-level basis. When we get back to the technical requirements, we've got this Task Number Six, which is called "Additional Business Enhancements." It's kind of a catch-all, and what we're saying in that area is, everything we had in the RFP that you've already told us about that we want you to do, that are requirements, now we want you to tell us -- we want you to brainstorm here. We want you to tell us all the other stuff over and above our mandatory requirements that you can do for us. we've tried to open it up to that aspect. The price sheet reflects that. It may not be perfect, but, again, we are a State agency and we have to operate under State procurement regulations, and we constantly struggle with the dilemma that the Lottery is basically a private business enterprise and we are trying to

shove that square peg into the round hole of State procurement, and in many cases that's not easy to do. It's not a good fit. And so if you see some things that look a little odd and you can't figure out why we did them that way, there's probably a reason for it. It might not make a lot of sense to you why, but there was a reason behind it, so --

So with all that preliminary stuff said, I'd like to go through the RFP, all 289 pages of it.

(Laughter.)

1.3

MR. HOWELLS: Those of you that have been here before know that that's not going to happen. I do want to highlight some of the things that may be different from -- when I say "before," I don't mean only from the current contract, but from everything we've done in the past. So some of you may have contracts for, you know, other services you provide to us that have basically what I'll call the old lottery contract format, which is a little different than what we have here.

So section one is just -- it's a lot of

general information that deals with a lot of the procurement information. The first thing I would like to point out, which I'm sure you all honed in on the minute you opened this thing up, on page page ten, 1.1.6, that the contractor may be entitled to receive incentive payments for exceeding performance targets, and this is outlined in the Attachment A, which is the contract, and we'll touch on that when we get back there, but there is an incentive provision in this contract.

And I apologize in advance. This Section

1.1.6 -- well, some of the section numbers get drug out
to like five and six digits. It gets kind of annoying
to have to be reading all of these long numbers, but
that has to do with the template formatting that we had
to put this into, so we didn't do that on purpose just
to be irritating.

The Contract Duration, back on 1.4, which is page 27 -- and we had someone ask us a question about this, so I'll touch on that now. Our current contract expires a year from June, June in 2017, so this

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

,

.

contract will be generated from this RFP -- we are looking to commence July of this year, July of '16, to give us a one-year transition period for a go-live of July of '17, when the current contract expires.

The contract will be for an eight-year period. The first year of that is the transition period, so it's an actual seven-year operational period. The seven-year operational period is what you get paid for. The one-year conversion period is free.

So we then have one three-year renewal option, which you get paid for, of course, and then there is an additional one-year renewal option at the end of that, and that is in there to cover things like, in the transition period, going to a new contract 12 years from now; if we have a problem getting that procurement done, if we need more time, if we need to extend the current contract. A whole bunch of things could happen why we'd need a little bit more time at the end. So you've got eight years plus three years plus one year for a total of 12 years potential contract term. Out of those 12, 11 would be paid. The

first conversion period year is not paid.

1.3

1.8

1.5 is the Procurement Officer. That's me.

It says here that I'm the sole point of contact -- I

sort of alluded to that already -- for this RFP. Up

until the time it is done, I am the only one in the

State of Maryland and in the Lottery that any

contractor -- potential contractor should be

communicating with regarding this RFP, be that

questions and answers, you know, anything that has to

do with scheduling, you know, oral presentations where

you may be coming in to meet with us. Anything that

goes on with this should all be directed to me.

A lot of reasons for that; one for like questions and answers. They all come to me. They all go into the same funnel. They all get answered. They all get answered the same. They don't get scattered all over the agency with different people looking at them. Also very important is that we do not give anyone the impression, be it true or false, but the impression is all that counts, that anyone has any sort of direct contact with anyone here, anyone is getting

any favored treatment, that there's any behind-the-scene discussions going on. We wanted everything above board, everybody on a level playing field. All communications are through me.

1.0

You will certainly have opportunities to talk to other people in the Lottery; when you come in for presentations, when we do site visits to your location we will have a team there. There will be plenty of time to talk. But on a routine-day basis, just keep everything to me and we'll be fine.

As I mentioned earlier, once I'm done with this and turn the contract over, John's the Contract Manager and he will take it from there. The one thing I will say about the contact issue with me and so forth, those of you that have current contracts with the Lottery, obviously, you have to continue to conduct your current business, so if you've got an Instant Ticket contract or if you've got an ITBM contract or something like that, clearly, you need to still deal with your Contract Manager and so forth. However, just be mindful of, and the Lottery people will be mindful

of also, that when you're meeting with those people about your legitimate business, that the conversation doesn't drift off to this procurement. We just don't want any issues with anybody thinking there's anything going on behind the scenes.

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1.8 is eMaryland Marketplace. This is -- is that a question? I'm sorry. Do you -- oh, excuse me -- the State's electronic commerce system. I know a lot of you are already signed up for it. It's not a big deal. You go on their website, you log in, you sign up. It doesn't cost anything. You get an eMaryland Marketplace number. You will get, as a -- as being signed up, you will get from anybody in the State that has anything that sounds like something you would be interested in, you will get information about that. It's based on, you know, (indiscernible) codes and that sort of thing. But also, as I said, we will post all the documents out there so you can look at them, and, also, in order for me to make a contract award, one of the things I have to submit down to Annapolis is your eMaryland Marketplace number. So it's not a big deal,

but, you know, it's on the checklist that has to go down, and if you don't have an eMaryland Marketplace number, then we have an issue, so please just get it done -- get it done ahead of time so that we don't have any last minute issues with something relatively minor, but that can cause problems.

Number 1.9, Questions. All questions about the technical aspects of this RFP should be submitted in email, by e-mail, and to me, as I said. We will answer all those questions and we will send them back out to everybody so that everybody has an even footing on questions that have been answered.

I will take questions at any time. We do not have any arbitrary cutoff date on questions. The only guideline is that I have to have time to prepare an answer and send it back out, so if you send me a question the day before proposals are due, you're probably not going to get an answer at that point. If it's something of a very generic nature, for instance, some of the MBE issues and forms, which I'll touch on in a little bit, you can call me with that sort of

thing, or if it's something about -- at the last minute about actually how to put a form in your RFP proposal package or something, you know, if it's something I can't answer verbally, I will just tell you to submit it in writing, but generally speaking, all questions of a technical nature need to go through e-mail to me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The 1.10, the Procurement Method, again, not to bog down in State procurement stuff, but there is an important distinction and some important features. We basically have two different ways of doing procurements here. One is called "competitive sealed bidding." That is a strictly price-driven process. We send out a proposal -- a request for proposals. We give you specifications. You give us back a price. That's it. The low bid wins, no discussion, no nothing. It's -your best price wins; cheapest price wins. Typically, you find that a lot with commodities and that sort of thing, although we do do bidding for some items. is being conducted under what's called "competitive sealed proposals." It's a much more flexible process. It gives us the opportunity for discussions with you

all. It gives us the opportunity to ask you to revise your proposals, if that's necessary; to cure defects in the proposals; to do best and final offers, which can be for price, but they can also be for technical; and it's much more flexible and it gives us a lot of options going forward.

There are three things in that process that are drop-dead items, that are pass/fail, that I cannot cure, and I will harp on them today so that nobody doesn't know what they are, 'cause the last thing we want somebody to do is be thrown out on a technicality basically. And when we get back to Section Five for Evaluation, I'll talk more about the process, itself, but the next section is 1.11, which is the proposal's due date, and this is number one of three that I am inflexible on and have no latitude on. They're due March the 3rd of this year at two p.m. here, not 2:01. I know it sounds stupid, because we're all professionals and know how to get things done on time, but things happen, you know. Cars break down. The train parks out here, if you haven't seen our train

that sits on the track for two hours sometimes.

Give yourself plenty of time to get it into us. No points are taken off if you're a day early.

Okay? That's perfectly okay. But it is a rigid State requirement that it must be here on time, and I have no latitude in that, and it has happened, and it's not a pleasant situation to send somebody back with their proposal and not be able to take it, so that's one.

1.14 is the Public Information Act. Under the State of Maryland PIA, once the contract is awarded, we are obligated to provide copies of documents related to this proposal, under certain conditions, to people that ask for those documents under the Public Information Act. They become public documents and we are -- we provide them under certain conditions. We redact them based on confidential information, information that you all deem to be trade secrets and so forth, but we will send out those documents if we receive a PIA. It's just a question of what redaction is done.

So there's a section in the RFP, and we'll

get to that, where we ask you to identify what you think is confidential information, and we will look at that at the time we get a PIA request, and we will make a determination as to what should be redacted and what should not be redacted. So it certainly is to your best interest to pay attention to this, and when you submit your proposal make sure you indicate to us what you consider to be confidential information.

Section 1.16 is Oral Presentations.

Actually, it covers a lot of things; oral presentations, site visits, that sort of thing. We will for sure, if you submit a proposal, unless it's a proposal that is rejected for one of the big three reasons that I mentioned, have you in for oral presentations and discussions. We have that tentatively scheduled -- that's assuming everything goes according to the current time table -- for the week of March the 21st through the 25th, so if you want to sort of start thinking about that on your calendar.

We have a statement in here about you all supplying us terminals that we can play with,

essentially, for the types of terminals you're going to propose. And someone asked how much notice will you be given on -- to provide those terminals. Good question. I don't have an answer for that yet. We will try and give you as much notice as possible, but I will respond to that in a written question. Site visits or demonstrations. Very likely we will be doing site visits probably to your place of business, to your corporate headquarters, probably also to another location, possibly another lottery where you have a system in operation, something like that, and we will be doing those site visits tentatively somewhere around the week of March the 28th through April the 1st.

And while we're talking about dates, we're looking at the award of this contract somewhere in mid to late April for us to make a decision and to send it to the Board of Public Works for final approval somewhere in the May to June time frame. So if you all have been to the Board of Public Works, you all know what I'm talking about. We all go to Annapolis and we do a big show down there in front of the Governor, and

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

ţ

hopefully they approve it.

1.17, Duration of Proposal. We ask you when you submit your proposal that it will be open and valid for a period of 180 days. We certainly don't anticipate it taking that long for us to make a decision; however, things can happen, so we expect you to hold your price and all your terms and conditions for a period of 180 days.

1.18, Revisions to the RFP. We sort of touched on that. They have to be done in the form of a written amendment. That is the only thing that can change the terms and conditions in the RFP, so --

1.21, this is always an interesting one.

This is Protest and Disputes. There is a provision in State procurement law for any contractor offeror to file a protest if they think they've been aggrieved or if there's something in the procurement process that they don't think is right. It's in COMAR 21.10, which I'm sure you're all familiar with or your legal people are. And I would just point out a couple. One — there's two distinctions there. There are things that

can be protested prior to the time that you submit your proposal. That would be things like specifications in the RFP.

Now, typically what happens is, if you have a question or an issue with something in the RFP, that's the kind of things we get in a question—and—answer session, and nine times out of ten or maybe 99 out of a hundred they would be clarified and worked out as the process goes forward. But if there's something in the RFP, itself, that is just absolutely something you think is incorrect, that can be protested only up until the time proposals are due. Once you submit your proposal, it closes the door on that aspect.

After an award is made, then that's what a lot of people think of as a protest. If you don't think the process was done correct, if you think you were aggrieved in some fashion in the evaluation process, then you have the right to protest the award process. So there's two different aspects there. We think that when we do a procurement, that we do it to perfection and there would be no reason for anybody to

protest anything; however, your opinion may not be the same, so I thought I'd point that out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1.24 talks about Mandatory Contractual Terms. When you submit your proposal, and there's a section back in Section Four that talks about this, you are agreeing to all of our terms and conditions; not only the technical specifications, but all the terms and conditions that are in our contract and in all the various other documents that are included here. So I always recommend that things like the contract, Attachment A -- Attachment A is a sample contract, but it is the actual contract that you will be sent if you are selected for award. I mean, obviously, the names will be filled in and the amounts and that sort of thing and the dates, but that is the document that you will be sent to sign, so I would suggest that you make sure, if you haven't already, that you send at least that contract to your legal people and have them go through it, so that if there's any issues, any problems, we can discuss that now, we can try and clarify whatever those issues may be, put you in touch

with our Attorney General's Office, and work through those details, because if I send you a contract and say, "Good news, we're awarding this contract to you all," and you, at that point, come back to me and say, "Well, that's really great, but we don't like your contract," we have a problem, and we may, at that point, just move on to offeror number two and award it to them. So it's very important that you do that legal review ahead of time so that we all have time to do Q&As, do whatever, and get it worked out in advance.

There is a provision where you can submit your proposal with an exception. However, exceptions are not looked upon particularly favorably and they are things that will have to be resolved before any contract is awarded, so, again, we'll talk about that a little further back, but in some fashion an exception will have to be removed prior to a contract award.

1.27 and 1.28, this is Compliance with Laws/
Arrearages and Verification of Registration. You need
to be registered to do business in the State of
Maryland with the Department of Assessments and

Taxation; another good thing to check well ahead of time, if you're going to submit a proposal. Make sure -- call Assessments and Taxation, get ahold of the Comptroller's Office, make sure that you're properly registered, you don't owe any money to the State of Maryland, and everything's good there. Okay? Because, again, before I award a contract, I have to check with these agencies to make sure that you're clean. you know, a lot of times it can be something as simple as a mistake with the Comptroller's Office where, you know, taxes or payments or something haven't been posted or they've been late or there's something. can take awhile to get these things worked out. I don't have to tell you dealing with a State agency may take some time. So when we're in that panic mode of getting the contract awarded, that's the last thing we need is a delay over somebody's, you know, problem with the Comptroller's Office or something along those lines, so please make sure all that stuff is worked out ahead of time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1.32, not a big deal, but I think --

Electronic Procurements Authorized is the title of this. We do do almost everything electronically regarding the procurement, but we do not authorize proposals to be submitted electronically. They must be submitted to us hard copy, so just -- you know, it does say that here towards the end, but if you just kind of glance over this, it's very easy to miss and you might think, oh, it's okay to send that in electronically. And that 1.32.5, it says it is not authorized for the submission of initial proposals, so every -- pretty much everything else that we'll be doing, you know, questions and answers and setting up meetings and all that, will be done electronically, obviously, but not the submission of your proposal.

1.33, Minority Business Enterprise

Participation Goal. Between what's in the RFP right
here in this section and what is in the appendix, I
think we have like almost 30 pages of MBE forms. It's
really not all that bad, if you look at it and break it
down. We have a 15 percent MBE goal on this contract.
That has to be met with Maryland certified MBEs. It

can't be AA, federally certified; it can't be
Washington, D.C. or some other city certified. It must
be Maryland Department of Transportation certified
MBEs.

1.8

I have back here on page 43, Ms. Roslyn

Fuller is our MBE Coordinator. She is a wealth of

knowledge and she can point you towards the MBE

directory and show you where all the MBEs are listed

and how to find MBEs and how to contact them and so

forth and so on, but it must be Maryland certified.

The -- a lot of the forms and a lot of what's in here, again, it's sort of a one-size-fits-all.

There's forms in here about if you're an MBE Prime

Contractor. I don't envision, with a contract of this size, that we will have an MBE Prime Contractor, so none of that will apply. There's a lot of forms in here that have to do with applying for a waiver from our MBE goal. I can tell you that our current contractor also has a 15 percent MBE goal. They have met it consistently for the past 11 years. I certainly don't see any reason or anticipate any problem going

forward with continuing to meet that same level of MBE participation, so I would not suspect that we will be involved with any type of a waiver. However, it is your right. I mean, you certainly can do that, but a lot of forms have to do with that, so they kind of go away if you're not looking for a waiver.

1.7

Number two of the three big things that can get you disqualified is the MBE form. It's in Section 1.33.3. It's called the Attachment D-1A, and it is where you identify who the MBEs are that you will be using to fulfill the goal in this contract. That form must be included with your proposal package.

We could argue the merits of whether it's a good thing or not to have it disqualify you if it's not there, but that is the State rule and that is what I must do, so please make sure it's in the package, it's filled out correctly. If there's any doubt in your mind how to fill it out, please question it, call me.

I'll probably put you in touch with Ms. Fuller, but you need to make sure that form is done correctly and it's in the package.

1	And we also have, which is very new to the
2	State in Section 1.41, we have a Veteran-Owned Small
3	Business Enterprise goal. This is a very small goal.
4	It's 0.5 percent. I would suspect that in the future
5	that will increase, but right now, trying to get this
6	program up and running, that's all it is. Same thing
7	again, questions with this, access to a directory of
8	Veteran businesses, we'll be happy to help you with
9	that. Roslyn Fuller can do that for you. You know,
10	when you get to that point, you can give us a call on
11	that. That doesn't need a formal, you know, written
12	question. We can get you hooked up with the proper
13	directories and so forth so you can find that
14	information so you can fill that form out correctly.
15	The only other couple of things in this Section One are
16	the bonding requirements. Again, there are bid
17	proposal bonds, litigation/protest bonds that have to
18	come in with your proposal. We have performance bonds
19	and fidelity bonds that come into play, you know, later
20	on, once the contract is awarded, but the
21,	litigation/protest and proposal bonds have to come in

1	with your proposal. And then the last yes, sir.
2	MR. BAILEY: Mr. Howells, just as a
3	hypothetical
4	MR. HOWELLS: Could you just identify
5	yourself please for the
6	MR. BAILEY: Hi. My name is Emmanuel Bailey,
7	VSC.
8	MR. HOWELLS: Okay. Yes, sir. Thank you.
9	MR. BAILEY: Could you go back and talk about
10	the MBE as a Prime?
11	MR. HOWELLS: Yeah, sure.
12	MR. BAILEY: Could you explain? You didn't
13	talk about it.
14	MR. HOWELLS: Oh, well, in the past, if you
15	were an MBE Prime Contractor, that really didn't count
16	for much. You still had to subcontract to another
17	independent MBE in order to meet the MBE goal. So you
18	would still, in this case, if you were an MBE Prime,
19	going back, you would have to subcontract 15 percent to
20	other MBEs to meet the goal, which, you know, doesn't
21	seem to make a lot of sense, does it, that if you're an

MBE that you would have to -- so that has been changed. 1 Now if you are an MBE Prime, you can count up to 50 2 percent of the goal yourself on your own MBE 3 certification, so it does count for something now. 4 MR. BAILEY: Would that also be applicable in 5 the structure of a joint venture? 6 MR. HOWELLS: Probably. That's a good 7 question. Send me that one in writing, and I will 8 verify that through GOMA and make sure. 9 MR. BATLEY: I did. 10 MR. HOWELLS: Okay. You did send me that 11 question. Okay. Good. All right. Thank you. Thank 12 you. Yeah, that's -- okay. 13 1.55, not to belabor this point, but Proposal 14 Disclosure Prohibition. This just goes back to what I 15 said earlier about not talking to anybody but me. 16 just expounds on that, not to talk to anybody else in 17 the State, not to be showing anyone else your proposal, 18 which I can't imagine why you'd want to, since it's a 19 competitive document and you wouldn't want your 20

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

competitors to see it, but it just indicates that once

21

proposals -- you know, once this process starts, it's a confidential process, and it has to go through me, and you have to basically be silent with all other State employees, agencies, whatever.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Section Two, Minimum Qualifications, a very short section, but a very important section. This is number three of three that can be a disqualifier and that I have no ability to cure. So we have the due date and time, we have the MBE form, and we have the minimum qualifications. They are the three that are drop-dead, pass/fail type items that I cannot cure or work with you on. Pretty much anything else, if you forget a form, if you forget to fill something out, as long as it's not the MBE form, we can work through that. We have a qualification -- minimum qualification for the offeror, the company, and we have a minimum qualification for some personnel. Minimum qualification means minimum qualification. Must have; not almost; not well, not quite; got to be what it says. Must meet these requirements 100 percent verbatim to qualify. It's not an evaluation criteria

that's kind of squishy, that we can, you know, say well, it's pretty good, but it could be better. It is a drop-dead, pass/fail requirement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I'm going to skip Section Three. That's all the technical specs. We'll come back to that at the end, 'cause that's probably what you all have most of the questions about. I'm going to go to Section Four, which is the Proposal Format, and probably the biggest thing I can say about this section is follow the instructions. Do not reinvent the wheel. We are not looking for creative formatting here. Follow the outline we've given you. Give us the stuff in the order and the sequence we've asked for it. So, first of all, it makes our job easier -- I know that you don't really care about, but we do -- but, second of all, we don't miss anything, we know where it is in the document. If we go to someplace and it's not there and we can't find it, then we can get back to you and say, "Hey, you forgot something." It keeps everything on an even keel, so please follow the format as it's outlined.

A couple of things here that I do want to highlight. This 4.2.2.2, this is this confidentiality thing we talked about earlier which has to do with the public information request and so forth. This is where you identify confidential information. And what we ask you to do -- and I'm going to clarify this, 'cause we had a question on this -- the way this was written 7 also. I will send out something clarifying this, but give us a list right -- after the Table of Contents, 9 give us a list of all the areas that are -- you deem to 10 be confidential, and then in the document, itself, in 11 the text, do the "Confidential information starts 12 here", "Confidential information ends here" in the 13 text, so we know. We've got two places, so we can 14 cross-check that. 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

The transmittal letter, 4.2.2.3, there's a whole bunch of stuff that has to be in that transmittal letter. Here we go again here with the eMaryland Marketplace number has to be in there. If you happen to be an MBE, or going to the gentleman's question about a joint venture and MBE Prime, just your MBE

certification number; a statement in here that you're accepting the terms and conditions in this RFP and you are complying with them. If there is any exception, right down below in the next section we ask you to outline that. And then "I" is an acknowledgment of any amendments that have been sent out on the RFP, so that we know that you've gotten all the amendments that we've issued on it and that you're not proposing, you know, without having knowledge of an amendment that was sent.

4.2.2.4, the Executive Summary, here's where we talk about the exceptions. If you are taking an exception to anything, this is where you need to identify it, explain it, tell us why, tell us what would make it not an exception in your mind, a proposed cure for that, and we will review it and go from there. You know, as I said, exceptions have to be removed before an award, so how we resolve it is a case-by-case basis, but -- minimum qualifications we talked about.

And then going forward, starting in 4.2.2.6, that is the actual response to all technical

requirements in the RFP up in Section Three. We want you to address everything in Section Three. Whatever we've asked for, whatever the requirements are, we want you to address that; tell us that you agree with it; how you're going to do it; you know, wow us with whatever information you want to give us about it.

2.0

What we've given you here, an outline by section, is kind of just to jog your memory a little bit. These are the really absolute things we want you to address in those sections. Anything else is great on top of this, but we absolutely want you to make sure you address the things that we've enumerated, but just so you cover everything. Follow that format. That's basically what that whole section is, just telling you how to prepare your proposal.

And then at the end of that section, in 4.2.3, again, a little checklist of the forms that you need to submit; Bid/Proposal affidavit, there's the MBE D-1A form again, and all the other bonds and so forth. Again, just a little jog -- jog your memory a little bit as to what has to be sent in.

4.3 is the Financial Proposal, and, you know, 1 remember when you're going to be submitting these, 2 you'll be submitting your technical and your financial 3 proposals separately. The financial proposal is --4 generally, it's nothing more than the price sheet, 5 which is back in the appendix, Attachment F; that is 6 the financial proposal. Sometimes people get confused 7 and they think, like we ask you for -- under 8 qualifications of your company, we ask you for some 9 financial information, about references, and about 10 financial statements and that sort of thing. Sometimes 11 people get confused and think that should go in the 12 financial proposal. No. The only thing in the 13 financial proposal is your price proposal. All that 14 It's about other stuff is in the technical proposal. 15 your company. It's your company's background, 16 qualifications, that sort of thing. 17

4.4 is the Proposal Packaging. We go into some length here explaining, you know, how we want the proposals to come in. Again, technical in a separate package, financial in a separate package, separate

18

19

20

21

envelope, depending how big things are, you know, but they should be separately sealed and sent to us that way. When we do the evaluation, we do the technical evaluation first, and then at the end we get to the financial proposals. We don't show the financial proposals to the evaluators when they're doing the technical, so I have to be able to separate — take the financial envelopes — we take those and put them in the safe someplace, lock them up. Nobody sees them until the appropriate time in the process, so they need to be easily distinguished from the technical. But, again, follow what we tell you here. It's just — it's a checklist as to how you should be submitting the proposals and what format, and just follow what's there and we'll be fine.

And then 4.5, Proposal Delivery, just to reiterate one more time, it's got to be on time, we've got to have those minimum qualifications, and the MBE form. They are my drop-dead requirements. Anything else we can usually work through.

Section 5 is the Evaluation Criteria,

evaluation process. The proposals will be evaluated by an Evaluation Committee that has been appointed by Director Medenica. The Committee will then make their recommendation to me, and I will review that and then, in turn, take that recommendation to the Director for final sign-off on that.

The evaluators -- and I'm going to tell you who the evaluators are, and I'd like you to be particularly cognizant of them and what I said earlier about, you know, being careful about conversations and so forth so that, in particular, we don't have anybody talking to evaluators about things that they shouldn't. Gina Smith; she's not here today. Jim Nielsen. I'm not going to point everybody out. I'll just read the names. I already told them who you are, so they know. Leo Mamorsky; Mr. John Martin; John Gallagher; Jeff Patchen, and Commissioner McGraw. And I already told them who you are. So they will be the evaluators, and you will get to know them very well during the discussion phase and evaluation phase and the site visit phase and all those times when we'll all be

1	hanging out together for some period of time probably.
2	The criteria that are listed here in Section
3	5.2, and they're listed in different levels, they are
4	in declining order of what we consider to be
5	importance. Level one is the most important down
6	through level five. The sub-items within each section
7	yes, sir.
8	MR. KIERNAN: Yes. The items listed under
9	each level are the sub-items that you just referred to
10	MR. HOWELLS: Right. Correct. Yes.
11	MR. KIERNAN: And can you I know you said
12	and it says here that they're in descending order of
13	importance the criteria.
14	MR. HOWELLS: Right.
15	MR. KIERNAN: Can you say what the relative
16	weight of the criteria would be?
17	MR. HOWELLS: Okay. The major criteria,
18	level one through five, are in descending order of
19	importance, correct.
20	MR. KIERNAN: Right.
21	MR. HOWELLS: The sub-criteria within each

major level are all equal.

MR. KIERNAN: Right.

MR. HOWELLS: Okay. There's no distinction between them. They're all equal criteria. There's no numerical grading score applied to the process. It is a subjective ranking process. So when we do our ranking of -- let's say we got ten proposals that came in. We would not assign to proposal number one a 95 percent score. Okay? We would only be ranking them one through ten. Okay? One's better than two, two's better than three, right down the line. Okay? It's a subjective ranking. There is no numerical score assigned.

MR. KIERNAN: You may want to check on this. I could be wrong, but I don't think that meets the requirements of the COMAR 21.05.03.02, which requires that the RFP indicate the relative importance of each criteria. I don't think saying this is — this is in descending order, I don't think it meets that requirement. I may be wrong.

MR. HOWELLS: I will address that. I will

1	address that. However, I would say that the State has
2	a huge problem then, because just about every proposal
3	that's been done is and the same way with the
4	comparison between price and technical. And I believe
5	that section you're referring to, that's what that
6	refers to, but I'd have to pull it out and reread it.
7	MR. KIERNAN: Yeah. The exact quote is "the
8	evaluation factors and an indication of the relative
9	importance of each evaluation factor, including price."
10	So an indication of the relative importance of price
11	seems to be required as well.
12	MR. HOWELLS: Well, that is. Okay. We've
13	covered that, okay, and I'll get to that.
14	MR. KIERNAN: Right.
15	MR. HOWELLS: But it is not a numerical or a
16	percentage; it is strictly a comparative type relative
17	ranking. Okay? But I'll be happy to elaborate on
18	that. Okay?
19	MR. KIERNAN: Okay. Maybe I can send you
20	something in writing.
21	MR. HOWELLS: Yeah, please do. Yeah. Yeah.

1	MR. KIERNAN: Sure.
2	VOICE: Excuse me.
3	MR. HOWELLS: Yes, sir.
4	VOICE: I'm sorry. I did not get the
5	gentleman's name.
6	MR. KIERNAN: Oh, Dan Kiernan.
7	VOICE: I'm sorry?
8	MR. KIERNAN: Dan Kiernan.
9	VOICE: Thank you.
10	MR. HOWELLS: Thanks. That was my fault. I
11	should've made
12	VOICE: What was the company?
13	MR. KIERNAN: Hunterdon.
14	MR. HOWELLS: So we once we do the
15	technical ranking, as we were going back and forth
16	here, it is a comparative ranking. So let's say we've
17	got one through ten. All right? Then we open the
18	financial proposals and we rank them one through ten.
19	That's fairly easy, highest to lowest. It's pretty
20	easy to rank them.
21	Then we have to come up with a blended

ranking to select what is the best value to the State, what's the most advantageous offer overall, because in the competitive sealed proposals process, we are not limited to strictly low price. We're -- we are able to award to a higher-priced offeror if you have justified technically that higher price, so it's best value to the State; who's giving us the most bang for the buck, essentially. That's were it can get very tricky.

Life is good if we have the highest-ranked technical offer and that happens to be the cheapest also -- least expensive, most economical. I hate to say "cheapest." Slam dunk. Okay? Best technical, best financial, we're in good shape. That rarely happens. Usually, we get some combination of things.

Number one technical maybe is like third most expensive or something like that. Number two technical is the lowest price, so we've got all these different factors we have to weigh to come up with a blended best value type ranking, and that is what -- one of the tasks that the Evaluation Committee will be charged with, is coming up with that overall best value ranking. And in

doing that, technical factors will be weighted more than financial factors. So, as I said, if you're better technically, but somewhat more expensive, and if we can justify that higher price based on your technical merit, we can award to a higher-priced offeror. We'd have to justify it.

Now, that's not to say that price isn't important. Price is always important, and especially with the new Governor it is even more important than it was before, so we look at price very carefully, but it is not strictly price-driven. It is very much driven by technical merit also. So when we finally get to that point in the process and we award the contract or announce the award, then anyone that had submitted a proposal that was not successful is certainly entitled to a debriefing, which means you can come in and talk to me and a few of the evaluators and we will go over your proposal and we will explain to you what our rationale was and why someone else was selected and you were not.

Attachment A is the contract that I mentioned

earlier that it's a good thing to have your legal staff check. 4.6 in the contract is the Contractor Incentive Program. I'm sure you've already read that, as I said, but, basically, it's the average of the three prior years and the increment over that for the current year, and then there's a one percent CIP -- Contractor Incentive that can be paid based on that.

Attachment F is the Financial Proposal Sheet. There's some instructions prior to that, and then we get into the sheet itself. We've got the basic system and the things that we are anticipating being included in the basis system, and then we have a whole bunch of other things — optional items that we can pick and choose going forward, additional equipment. We have a number of additional tasks that we may or may not want the contractor to do. And then we get back into that Task Number Six, which is our additional business enhancements, and that's where you all have the opportunity to basically tell us anything you want. So we're looking forward to being dazzled with wonderful things in Task Number Six, not that everything else

won't be wonderful also, but especially --

1.6

so that leaves Section Three, and to your great relief, I'm sure, I am not going to go through and read Section Three. Are there any questions that you would like to ask us on Section Three, on the technical aspects? As I said, we've got some questions already. If you've already asked it, you can ask it again if you just want to, you know, hear us try and answer it, but we will certainly respond in writing to those questions that we already have. If you have anything new that's popped into your head while we've been sitting here today, feel free. Yes, sir.

MR. BAILEY: Mr. Howells, again, Emmanuel Bailey, VSC.

MR. HOWELLS: Mr. Emmanuel.

MR. BAILEY: Could you go to page 148,
Section 3.30.17.1, where it talks about the Send-to-Cut
time to the Retailer Terminal, that was a bit confusing
in terms of at first you ask for three seconds, then it
goes to total time for round-trip communications, and
then it talks about the Send-to-Cut time shall be under

1	two seconds 99 percent of the time. I don't know if
2	you can clarify that now. Via e-mail would be fine,
3	but it just wasn't that clear.
4	MR. HOWELLS: Okay. Is there anything, well,
5	to clarify that or would you rather do that in writing?
6	MR. GALLAGHER: No, we'll do it in writing.
7	MR. HOWELLS: Okay. Yeah, let's respond to
8	that in writing. Thank you. Did you send that in
9	already or is that
10	MR. BAILEY: That one I did not.
11	MR. HOWELLS: Okay. All right.
12	MR. BAILEY: I just noticed it.
13	MR. HOWELLS: You can if you like, but we got
14	it, so yeah.
15	MR. BAILEY: If you have it, I don't need to
16	send you more paper.
17	MR. HOWELLS: Thank you. Anybody else? Yes,
18	sir.
19	MR. KIERNAN: Just a question about the
20	schedule that you
21	MR. HOWELLS: Mr. Kiernan again.

1	MR. KIERNAN: Yes, Dan Kiernan. You
2	mentioned that your expectation was to make a selection
3	by mid to end of April with these proposals due March
4	$3^{ m rd}$. Such a tight schedule, does that imply that you
5	are not expecting to have discussions leading to best
6	and final submissions?
7	MR. HOWELLS: It does not imply that at all.
8	It implies that everybody here at the Lottery that has
9	been charged with this task has cleared their schedules
10	and will be doing virtually nothing but what has to be
11	done to get this accomplished.
12	MR. KIERNAN: You must be the best
13	procurement team in the country. That's a terrific
14	schedule.
15	MR. HOWELLS: Thank you. I'll take that as a
16	compliment.
17	VOICE: That remains to be seen.
18	MR. KIERNAN: Speaking as someone who has
19	waited for more than a year for governments to respond
20	to proposals, that's a terrific schedule.
21	MR. HOWELLS: Understood. Understood. And I

think if you go back and look at past Lottery procurements and talk to some of the existing contractors, I think you will find that they may not have all good things to say about us, but I think they will say that we are relatively quick in getting these things done and processed and through, so -- but -and, again, if things develop during the process that require, you know, additional time for whatever reason -- I mean, you don't know what you don't know, so -but that is the schedule -- that is the proposed schedule at this time, and we think that it's something that is reasonable. So I ask everybody to kind of make a mental note of that time frame so when I call you and say, "We'd like to come visit," you don't panic. Okay? It's like we told you, so we'll see, we'll see. Anybody else? Going once, twice. Okay. We will be sending out, as I indicated

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. We will be sending out, as I indicated before, a summary of the meeting today. We obviously have some questions that we need to answer. We definitely have an amendment that we will be doing, because, as I said, we have some dates and things that

1	require that, and we will take any additional questions
2	from you all. On your ride home tonight or your flight
3	home, if you're just dwelling on good questions you're
4	just burning to ask us, feel free to fire them off.
5	MR. PERRONE: Rick Perrone, Tournament One.
6	MR. HOWELLS: Yes, sir.
7	MR. PERRONE: You mentioned you were going to
8	get into the technical category at the last at the
9	end.
10	MR. HOWELLS: Well, that's Section Three.
11	That's what I just mentioned, if anybody had any
12	questions on Section Three. If you have anything in
13	there, we'd be happy to talk about it. Okay? Did you
14	have a question on that section?
15	MR. PERRONE: No, we'll do it through the e-
16	mail.
17	MR. HOWELLS: Oh, okay. Yeah, that's fine.
18	Sure. Sure. Okay. All right. Thank you all for
19	coming. I appreciate it very much.
20	(Whereupon, at 11:10 a.m., the meeting was
21	concluded.)

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY

I, DEBORAH B. GAUTHIER, the officer before whom the foregoing testimony was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing transcript was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me by digital means and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; that said testimony is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this testimony is taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

This certification is expressly withdrawn and denied upon the disassembly or photocopying of the foregoing transcript of the proceedings or any part thereof, including exhibits, unless said disassembly or photocopying is done by the undersigned court reporter and/or under the auspices of Hunt Reporting Company, and the signature and original seal is attached thereto.

DEBORAH B. GAUTHIER Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland

My Commission Expires:

October 17, 2019